INTE	RNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO	DRITY		•		
To:				PCT		
	see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
		,	Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
' '	icant's or agent's file reference form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER A			
i	national application No. T/GB2004/002149	International filing date (date 18.05.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20.05.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification B65D65/46, B65D1/24, B65D25/08, C11D17/04			and IPC			
''	pplicant ECKITT BENCKISER (UK) LIMITED					
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
3.	For further options, see Form PC For further details, see notes to F					

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Appelt, L

Authorized Officer



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002149

			LAP20 Regid FEW TO 18 NOV 2005
_	Box	No. Basis of the opinion	
1.	With the la	regard to the language, this opinanguage in which it was field, unl	nion has been established on the basis of the international application in ess otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been establishe language , which is the langua (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	ed on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search
2.	With nece	regard to any nucleotide and/oressary to the claimed invention, the	r amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and his opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	pe of material:	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequen	ce listing
	b. for	rmat of material:	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c. tim	ne of filing/furnishing:	
		contained in the international	application as filed.
		filed together with the internat	ional application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this	Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	(has been filed or furnished, the re	than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto equired statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as
4.	Addit	tional comments:	

	Box No. II Priority						
	☐ The following document has not been furnished:						
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).						
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).						
	Consequently it has nevertheless been	as not been poss nestablished on	ible to consi the assumpt	ider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has tion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			
	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
	Additional observations	s, if necessary:					
	Box No. V Reasone industrial applicabilit	ed statement un ty; citations and	der Rule 43 explanatio	Bbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or one supporting such statement			
1.	Statement						
	Novelty (N)	Yes No:	: Claims Claims	1-14			
	Inventive step (IS)	Yes No:		1-14			
	Industrial applicability ((IA) Yes No:	_	1-14			
2.	Citations and explanat	ions					
	see separate sheet						
	Box No. VI Certain	documents cite	d				
	BOX NO. VI CERTAIN						
	Certain published docu	Marine	3 <i>bis</i> .1 and 70	0.10)			
		Marine	8 <i>bis</i> .1 and 7(0.10)			
	Certain published docu	uments (Rules 43		0.10)			
	Certain published docu	uments (Rules 43		0.10)			

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

10/557298

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002149

IAP20 Rec'd PETYTTO 18 NOV 2005

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claim 1

The closest prior art appears to be disclosed in the document WO-A-02/092456 (D1). This document describes a filled water-soluble injection moulded container containing a first composition in a first compartment and a second composition in a second compartment, where the first and second compartments are separated by a water-soluble barrier.

Both compartments are sealed with a water-soluble film and - since the compartments are on different sides of the container - it may be assumed that the barrier is also formed by injection moulding of the water-soluble material.

It is, however, not derivable from D1 or any of the other available documents that the barrier has an opening which is plugged by a plug and which is arranged in such a manner that the first compartment is filled through the opening before the opening is closed by the plug.

Accordingly, the present claim 1 appears to meet the requirements of Article 33 (2), (3) and (4) PCT, its subject-matter being novel, involving an inventive step and being capable of industrial applicability.

Claim 9

The observations set out above apply in a corresponding manner to the unfilled container as defined in the present claim 9.

Accordingly, it would appear that claim 9 also meets the requirements of Article 33 (2), (3) and (4) PCT.

Claims 2 to 8 and 10 to 14

These claims are directly or indirectly dependent on the claims 1 and/or 8 and, consequently, also meet the requirements of Article 33 (2), (3) and (4) PCT.

PCT/GB2004/002149

Re Item VI

Certain documents cited

Certain published documents

Application No Patent No

Publication date

(day/month/year)

Filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)

PCT/GB03/00100

04.09.2003

13.01.2003

26.02.2002

The applicant's attention is drawn to this document (Publication No. WO-A-03/072694), because it discloses (see Fig. 4) a water-soluble container from which the subject-matter of claim 1 only differs in that the barrier is arranged such that the first compartment can be filled through the opening before the plug is plugged into the opening. According to this document, the barrier and the plug are arranged over the first composition after filling of the first compartment.

Accordingly, the subject-matter of claim 1 is novel over the teaching of this document. Depending on the validity of the priority of the present application, this document might, however, be relevant for judging the existence of an inventive step.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

Claims 1 and 9 (and all claims dependent thereon) do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because they are not clear and not supported by the description.

It is clear from the description that the main purpose of the present invention is the provision of a water-soluble container which will release the different components provided in the first and second compartment and, optionally, in the plug at different times.

To this end, the first compartment is formed such as to dissolve slower than the other parts of the container, in particular because the injection moulded parts dissolve slower than the other parts.

It is clearly set out in the description (see page 4, line 23) that one of the decisive features of the invention lies in the fact that the first compartment *is surrounded* by injection moulded walls.

PCT/GB2004/002149

This teaching is not clear from the wording of claims 1 or 9, because it is not indicated therein that the barrier (provided with the opening for the plug) is injection moulded with the container. In fact, the barrier as defined in claims 1 and 9 could be a wall which is similar to the barrier wall disclosed in WO-A-03/072694. This, however, is not in line which what is indicated throughout the description and the drawings.